

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED, Citizens and Residents of Canada, call upon the House of Commons to Legislate a system of protections for the House of Commons that grants them a new form of consent to govern measures via a pool of the public body (like a jury) selecting citizens from each province. The underlying goal, to help guide our members on considerations of the day's work in parliament as it relates the aspects of debate, questions, and bill readiness, outside emergency matters to a reasonable extent alongside any additional considerations discussed by our members deemed matters of importance to the public.

CALL UPON THE House of Commons in Parliament assembled to create closed public venues in the house of commons & Governor Generals chamber, sound proofed though capable to hear the members room without means to censor the public's ear through speakers, to host a pool of the public body of Canada (like a jury) that is selected each scheduled work week of the members of the house of commons (including impromptu scheduling due to urgent or emergency scheduling, so a list must always be prepared) or well the governor general works in our elected members place (Such a duty will have to be orated similar to member styling amongst staff in view of said venue), to weigh & help guide the elect & government on considerations of the day. Initial considerations for this petition will be parsed into four sections.

- SELECTION,
- ORIENTATION,
- QUESTION, VOTES & DEMERITS,
- HOUSE UNDERSTANDING.

P1

SELECTION:

The Speaker of the house's office will use each provinces jury pool listings & adopt similar practices of a randomized & impartial selection process, to select 3 citizens from each province, though regular notice to 2 will be sent as the regular house scheduled pool selection, and plane tickets will be prepared for both. The letter will be dated on creation & give the citizen a 12 day window to respond by phone or email, they should look to offer updated addresses/contacts & to point out any critical health or scheduling conflicts to attend in Ottawa for the selection/orientation process. Such a letter will highlight which airport in their area a ticket will be prepared for them unless selected in Ottawa itself, just offer directions.

If over 25% of initial respondents abandon their duty to said regular selection, the third citizen from each province which would be sent a reserve pool letter, they can check in though flight preparations won't be made unless over 25% fail to check in for the regular notice, irregular or urgent/emergency scheduling protocol might best look like expedited mail to all 3, and half the urgent selection may be previous pool either selected or not via the direct contact details they gave or that responded to notices (going back 4 years tops), highlighting the urgent circumstances & requesting immediate response via phone or email with said directions to claim a ticket. In the circumstance of the house members own irregular urgent or emergency scheduling being the day of, video recording may be setup at once in front of the closed public venue for later review of said pool once the urgent scheduling & summarized orientation is given. During regular scheduling those pooled for selection will arrive the Saturday before said work week by our members. The Speaker of the house will greet them picking 1 from each province present, plus an additional 2 citizen from said list present in attempt to best balance population size representation, which will be looked upon to balance out yearly. (Examples of such, those selected from Ottawa or Quebec might both be picked slightly more often with all due considerations made on population sizes & the selected publics own commitment.)

P2

The speaker may decide to return to greet such when it relates the governor general sitting or during emergency they may also choose to have a staffer named to step in (such a staffer selection must be made public and have their own profile, along with be noted when substituted on a new section added to the ourcommons.ca website devoted to offering details relating the public pooling selection, votes, some questions, and general info such as the pamphlets made available along with population balance count of that year, etc), The speaker or selected staffer will give a speech on the current state of our sitting parliament, important stats like the current number of votes & bills so far, the role such a pool is to play in the topics of the week ahead, along with all further meaningful dialog such as a budgetary highlights, party agreements, the weeks planned debates & questions, bills up for vote, etc, and the speaker may then choose who is selected for that week after listening & considering the public pool presents own concerns, such as willingness to serve the commitment of 8 days (maximum length for a single pool and the regular schedule, emergency pools could potentially be less) to sit & weigh concerns. Each citizen who arrived for this selection process, before finished will also be given several pamphlets (which may be looked to improve over time) Once such are selected, the speaker of the house may thank them all on behalf of the house members, and pass them off to staff for orientation. Would be a nice touch if those not selected were given a tour of the house of commons before returned via shuttle to the airport & assisted in preparing their return flight.

ORIENTATION:

The selected pool will be granted a per deim & a place to stay (hotel) together, shouldn't always be the same one, with a shuttle for the House of Commons each morning (along with pickup & transport back to the airport). Also given a support email & phone line that house of common's staff run, could be via key chain plastic tag mailed out initially which could also grant said pool temporary access to the house of commons library, all to assist with questions or concerns of the selected pool in either settling in or to enquire about topics.

P3

Saturday & Sunday will be used to go over the members work week schedule, along with brief introductions to a multitude of subjects, some of which can be covered in the pamphlets which may also provide links to some aspects which are of course publicly available like the houses glossary highlighting & explain important distinctions, a decent introduction to the creation of bills, and house members standing orders, outside the link to review such on the house of commons website, a short collection of video examples showing such play out by past members of some of the steps of a bill passing & examples of common & technical standing orders to offer a visual context alongside the written ones, with that, also the houses previous budget & what is currently projected with insights of the previous budget such as federal administration/staffing costs, annual consult costs, federal annual department budgets including a top 20 listing. Along with a few links to study additional federal departments & budgetary matters, also a short breakdown on commitments made last year by the federal government to projects both in & outside Canada outside the federal government's own costs.

Review of Acts that offer safeguards to the process of the house, with of course the link to find them all. Previous hansard indexed debates highlighted for the pools convenience to study in the meeting rooms & their public venue, maybe this could be handled via tablets lent to them for the 8 days to be used in meeting rooms with staff or during after hours (if the pool is able to work together effectively with dictionaries and paper notebooks, it is hoped tablets & phones can be kept out of the venues), alongside links to the public committee meeting links for review on the members of the house of commons on aspects they will be voting on near the end of week, with guidance by staff Sat & Sun including the pool going over together 1 or 2 matters up for vote in full.

P4

At the beginning of each day of the work week (mon-fri) the pool arrives to the house of commons, to go over the days docket & questions from yesterday with staff in a meeting room to assist, studying further previous debates/committee recordings together, and including such details if known by the house such as a list of what members plan to speak that day, such a list could include spacing for the pool to take notes, and keep space for impromptu members speaking. Before they sit alone together in the public venue to listen, deliberate the days work, and further study together previous works either from the hansard or committee meetings relating the topics of the day.

Outside of covering terminology of the house of commons & scheduling, they will learn how to deliberate meaningful questions to ask of our house members, how to consider & vote on each day's work by our members, how to vote on various considerations for that work weeks bills, and a process for how to review emergency situations, condensed training will focus less on pool votes of emergency bills, more on questions. Emergency or urgent situation, and what part the pool could play in voting their conscious on how they see such work handled by our members. The pool will also look to learn how the pools own voting processes work, how to go over previous pools questions & member's responses once made (this will pass over emergency pools to the next regular pool), the demerit system, and the current Glossary of house understandings. A starting framework for such will be presented in the next two sections.

QUESTION, VOTES & DEMERITS:

Initial considerations on formatting for the pool does conflict a bit with the members of the houses standing orders as it relates time for considering some of the houses matters, like votes before them, given a pools study must begin fresh each scheduled week, priorities differ especially as it relates matters of importance like the members votes. Also of consideration is the Governor General's station when continuing government, bill formatting should be studied further, such an aspect doesn't need to open at the same time, but should accompany the pool concept written upon in this petition. This is all in an effort to increase transparency & accountability with the public.

It is asked of the members to refresh themselves with their houses standing orders to see how this might all best work, from a quick overview, some changes on increasing sitting days for the members on votes might best serve a pool & members if voting was bunched closer together at the end of a scheduled work week (so Thur & Fri) Not addressing emergency scheduling, the focus of that type of pool is to deliberate on the emergency, offer initial points of concern by the public in both the emergency & the members handling via questions.

Outside the brief consideration of increasing the days for the members consideration on current bills in the houses standing orders to change the scheduling of voting to thur-fri. The Governor Generals role in this instance is to review large budgetary requests by federal departments to carry on government when members run for election and sign for them, a secondary closed venue with the Governor General would focus on the public's consideration on budget, instead of the member's work of the day. Such work by the Governor General should be presented in a similar fashion as other bill types.

Departments total budgets should be broken down & if federal grants are administered by the federal departments and part of said budget, each of the grants totals should be listed in said bill too, when the governor general is ready to sign documentation to confirm budget costs of federal departments to get funded, their duty in such a circumstance with all due respect given, I'd like the governor general to read such out first before the pool which will listen & deliberate then await their vote the following, before signing the continuation of said budget (Such a vote doesn't change the Governor Generals role, just factors the publics support. Such bills budgetary sorting will be grouped by what committee might look to review said federal budgets & groupings of budgets will cap at 100 million. If a single budget goes over 100 million, such a department will have it's own bill. If concerns are seen by the pool, the proper committee that would normally oversee said budget will place some priority to study aspects the pool brings up.

The secondary closed venue for the pool will sit before a large office table with the governor general and their staff. Placing the governor general's role in light of helping guide the public's will & considerations on the federal budget. When members of the house are campaigning, or even when considered by the last pool scheduled well members of the house aren't expected for over 60 days. Said majority vote (half+1) on the pool's 2nd Saturday. Allowing the public to choose when to look at it's federal government's budget with this additional format considerations. Such a format for the governor general's bill will include staffing costs & breakdown of administration, scientists, engineers, officers, etc. Office building mortgages & rental spaces, equipment & maintenance, along with domestic grant totals & breakdowns, international grant breakdowns, and other vital budget considerations for all the various federal departments. A budget might readily appear cost efficient or well considered, though when presented by our governor general, they can share what they consider important to share with the pool about each federal department's budget they are reviewing. When called by the pool in such periods, if the governor general doesn't need to sign for approval, then they will just be offering to guide the public to review budgets.

When a bill in the secondary closed venue format is voted on, the majority vote (half+1) will be if the pool considers the bill's budget is sound. If the bill fails said vote, the pool may issue questions for a committee to consider on said budget presented in the bill. Examples but by no means all meaningful questions a pool could ask: "Are all other industries being properly considered?" "Has this gone through an open bidding procedure?" "Can the level of safeguards for said grant be raised in this instance?" (ie reviewed & guided by either a provincial or federal auditor general) Or in instances of a bill with grouped together federal grants an additional question like; "Can X, Y, or Z be set aside & reviewed further by committee"

Lastly, on considerations for bill types, with the closed public venue setup for the pool and members of the house being the primary venue, the Justice & Human Rights committee having a bill type for judges asking for further review of topics or sub topics from said Judges province and look to study on any aspect of a case they oversee a judgement on, this is considered as it relates what time judges can spend reviewing issues, given staffing of such a position can be difficult. If said committee see's such a study as not needed or out of order like they do when members of the house propose things they deem unsuited to committee, if they deem it such, the speaker or pool should have the same right as the speaker does in overruling such calls by committee if they see potential for reviewing the subject matter outlined by the judges considerations, take such on to study, they will write a report of their considerations, make such public, inform the Judge of said study.

Such results of said study could be brought forward by members of the house, or even petitioned by the public given the study be made public. This might be a means in which to address punishments, review precedent concerns had by a judge, or further still, considerations to improve upon the courts processes due to considerations found in cases they decide on. Provincial attorney generals also review said processes, though concerns differ. A pool would vote in favor of a study, if after deliberating they believe the Judges considerations are worthwhile and look to get majority vote (half+1).

QUESTION PHASES

After the initial orientation on the weekend in a meeting room, the pool will meet there again with some staff early Monday to go over what might be planned for discussions that day by the members of the house & continue to catch up on prior videos of committee discussions on the bills up for vote later in the week. The meeting rooms are mostly for study, not deliberation.

Once the members of the house commence public business, the pool will enter the primary closed venue, and hopefully after all formal introductions for the day's public question and debate periods are had, a prayer or whatever else have you, the current pool can listen to the members responses posed by the prior pools participants. They can deliberate such questions until Wednesday as well listen & take notes from the members of the house discussions of the day & begin to pose questions of their own throughout the week, forming new questions together in the venue on the final Saturday in a regularly scheduled week, making sure they don't repeat questions or focus on asking the same member, they may need French/English dictionaries such as HARRAP'S present, unless there is a large language barrier between the pool. The pool is allowed to hone multiple questions each, though they can only bring up a vote to pass 1 question by minority vote (equal or greater than 1/3 present), they may decide to present questions in either English or French. 1 can choose to abstain from raising their own question & even offer to forward an additional question from someone else in the pool if both agree, the pool is meant to help one another hone & ask questions.

Questions won't be associated with any specific individual from the pool's name, the questions themselves will have the same privileges the members of the house receive regarding speaking, however only the next weeks pool can determine if any specific question passed by minority vote is out of order when they look to consider responses. Only the individual question votes held on the last Saturday will require minority vote. Questions to committees will require a majority vote (half +1).

VOTE PHASES

PHASE 1 VOTES.

The pool will study the happenings of the house throughout the week, and have 3 phases to voting. The 1st phase will be on Wednesday, they will leave the meeting room with staff an hour early to enter the closed venue before the members of the house begin their day's work, to vote on each of the responses by the members of the house to the questions asked by the last pool.

Did the member's response fully address the concern had by the previous pool's question as it relates the public interest? If the last weeks work by our members was voted by the previous pool as a constructive work week overall by the members of the house, the votes needed to pass that the members response was sufficient is just half of the pools votes in favor. If the previous week voted the work was unconstructive, a majority vote is required (half+1). For each vote passed, the members have the public's thanks.

If the vote fails on the response by members to the previous pools questions the current pool, a demerit is added to the member.

1.1

A key function of the pools role is to consider the readiness of bills coming up for votes, members upcoming votes that week, they will try their best from sat-wed to study all upcoming votes by the house now placed on thur-fri, again spending time with staff each day to highlight committee meetings if on that stage and if the committee meetings are behind closed doors, a briefing fit for civilian review on the committees considerations that does not breach trust or confidentiality details though still helps give the pool a sense of the work on the bill up for vote by staff in 1 of 2 of the pools meeting rooms. The pool may vote on their confidence of the state of each bills readiness, regardless of what stage it finds itself in the house for vote. If any bill fails a majority confidence vote (half +1), depending on the stage of the bill, said bill may be sent back to committee or the member to study the bill further.

The speaker can announce these votes by the pool to the house on Thursday. If a bill has been sent back once by the pool at any stage it will be noted in it, and if it comes up for vote & fails majority confidence vote by a 2nd pool, the bill will face federal referendum. Canada as a whole will decide, outside a yes or no vote to keep said bill, a description of the bill, link to the bills current state will be written on the referendum, the pool may write a follow up questionnaire attached to the referendum mailed out to the public, highlighting the concerns and various topics that caught the pools attention, and the public may checkmark what concerns they share regardless of what way they vote.

Members in the house who are in opposition of the bill may also include additional concerns, and the government may speak to such concerns publicly in the time said referendum is underway. If the federal referendum fails to keep the bill, the bill will be removed from the houses docket, if the public approves of the bill, the government will review the questionnaire of concerns had, and spend additional time to meet the publics concerns highlighted in the questionnaire

1.2

Outside of considering a bills readiness, the pool may deliberate & apply another majority vote (half +1) to any bill up for vote by the members that week, even bills the pool has voted not ready, that will request members of the house to apply a free standing vote to the bill, or otherwise vote based on their conscience ignoring considerations of party whips with full right given of the members to stand apart from their party if they wish. Members of the house are also welcome to debate any bill they think such may be wise for a pool to apply such too.

PHASE 2 VOTES.

The pool will deliberate each day's work by the government and make note of concerns, so Mon-Fri in a regular scheduled week, after deliberations on Friday, the pool will cast a vote on if they believe the governments considerations for each of the topics discussed by our members as it relates our nation's prosperity being properly weighed, whether the government is looking to spend or save, does the pool believe the discussions hosted by the government that week with the opposition is to Canada's benefit.

Majority vote (half+1) will be an indicator to this end if our members work appears fruitful to the public. If the vote passes the government will have the public's thanks. If it has failed, the last weeks work by the members will be considered a learning experience to the house. If the vote fails, the pool will have to take notes for the following pool to consider.

P11

2.1 + 3.5

If the previous weeks work by the members didn't appear fruitful to the pool such will strike an additional review by the next weeks pool, and change the pools vote the following week on questions to the members of the house from half, to majority vote (half +1).

The pool that follows such a failed vote will review what notes the last pool left in the closed venue & consider adding a statement of concurrence or deferment on their final Saturday in a regular scheduled week. If they concur with the previous pools decision they may deliberate & write a short statement to be read aloud by the house speaker addressing a pools concern. The members will thank the pools for their consideration. If the pool deliberate & defer, a shorter statement in hopes that the members are considering what is best for the nation's interest will do. These statements too will be given all due privileges our members have to speak in the house. The statement of Concurrence or Deferment can be read after the pools questions are read aloud by the house speaker in either English or French on the regular scheduled Monday.

2.2

If the previous pool voted to add a demerit to any members via a word found in the house understanding, the current pool will study the conversation by the member to see if they believe the use case meets a meaningful severity as the last pool did. If the current pool concurs by a 2nd majority vote, the demerits stick.

PHASE 3 VOTES.

Any additional votes will take place on the pools 2nd Saturday (last day). The pool will deliberate questions & consider all other votes before them. The pool may work together to produce a question each (In English or French) on the events of the day discussed by the house (maximum 1 per, though they can choose to abstain or forward an additional question from someone else in the pool if both agree) names of the pool won't be associated with their question either in response.

The pool is allowed to hone multiple questions each, though they can only bring up a vote to pass 1 question by minority vote (equal or greater than 1/3 present).

P12

3.1

A majority vote (half +1) after deliberating on any considerations brought up by Judges if initially set aside by the Justice & Human Rights committee, again this is a consideration on adding an additional tool for Judges to help them with their own time management on considerations. Though other members house standing orders including some of the powers of the speaker of the house, might be meaningful to share with the pool, further study would need to be had.

3.2

The current session's house understanding glossary the public pool may agree on, to a maximum of 4 words or slogans brought up by members of the house, either newly coined or freshly re-imagined, both of which are welcome as is all free speech, though if seen by the public as too argumentative amongst various political parties and their members that week. Once a selection of words are deliberated and a list which can max out at 4 is made, a majority vote (half+1) will have the words added to the glossary.

To this end of voting on words for the houses understanding, a pool may also vote to remove 1 word, such a vote will also require a majority vote, if another pool sees fit to add it back, they may.

3.3

The pool may pick up to two use cases found in questions or debates that week (by different members) where they believe the current session's house understanding glossary is being disrespected. Not to be confused with the traditional glossary. After the pools deliberation, they may cast a majority vote (half +1) on if each member is found wanting in keeping the houses understanding in good faith, a demerit will be added to said member if voted in the majority to be found problematic.

P13

House party leaders may once a week also through act of motion on Friday each week highlight a matter found problematic by their party by another parties member on use of any word or slogan added by the pool in that parliamentary sitting or slogan by another parties members use to be considered for 1 additional vote on top of the two votes the pool is able to select.

If nothing has been misused in the glossary by members, or the pool chooses not to place any vote on this subject, it may be announced the following week that the pool thanks the members for respecting the houses decorum. All of such votes before the glossary are optional by the pool, even if presented by party leaders, for free speech is indeed a human right, everyone's considerations on what makes a passionate debate differs. The use of such words must be found in the houses current sessions understandings set out by a previous pool if a member is being voted on in this fashion.

3.4

In consideration of the primary pools votes & committees, much like how committees seek experts & the general public to speak before them who take concern with topics the committee reviews, the pool may choose to deliberate & vote on a maximum of 3 committee topics they have studied together that week, to ask each committee at maximum 4 questions. These too can be presented on the next Monday after said committees have entered at least one meeting together. The speaker of the house will make the distinction of which pool the committees are responding to. The pools may be numbered & start fresh at the beginning of a new session of parliament.

P14

3.5 + 2.1

If the previous weeks work by the members didn't appear fruitful to the pool such will strike an additional review by the next weeks pool, and change the pools vote the following week on questions to the members of the house from half, to majority vote (half +1). The pool that follows such a failed vote will review what notes the last pool left in the closed venue & consider adding a statement of concurrence or deferment on their final Saturday. If they concur with the previous pools decision they may write a short statement to be read allowed by the house speaker pointing out whatever matters the public would like the members to improve on. The members will thank the pools for their consideration and look to improve. If the pool write a statement deferring, they won't be looked upon to point anything out to the members of the house, just state their hopes that the members are considering what is best for the nations interest on said topics. These statements too will be given all due privileges our members have to speak in the house. The statement of Concurrence or Deferment can be read after the pools questions are read aloud by the house speaker in regular scheduling on Monday.

3.6

The last pool scheduled well members of the house are expecting no work scheduled in the house for over 60 days will vote on if a pool should be formed after them to gather in the secondary closed venue before the governor general. Said majority vote (half+1) will take place in phase three of voting on the pools 2nd Saturday during regular scheduling. If such a vote hasn't succeeded in over 2 years, 30 days unscheduled by the members will be enough for the last pool before such a break to vote on such.

3.7

The secondary pool venue will cast majority votes (half+1) on if they believe a federal departments budget is considered appropriate. If the bill fails said vote, the pool may deliberate on questions to bring to the committee that overviews said federal departments budget in the hopes to better guide the committees on what the public sees of various departments budgets.

DEMERITS:

Regular members will be allowed 7 demerits, if reached in a 2 year span the member is handed their hat. The seat goes up for by-election, and a new candidate may run for the party who previously held said seat. Leaders of parties are often looked upon to speak more or considered more controversial to the public; the leaders of parties may receive 14 demerits in a 2 year span before being handed their hat. Demerits issued because a vote failed with regard to a members response to the pool will be considered far more serious then demerits for not following the houses understanding. If redress by a member to a pools question is considered inappropriate to the following pool via vote, the member must leave all but 1 committee to help focus their attention as it relates serving the public for a total of 4 months. Demerits relating house understanding won't influence the member's committee spots, but add to the same total they carry. Demerits carried can be listed on their profile, including if they bare committee restrictions for such. The 2 year span will start based on when the members first demerit is handed out by the public, such will be noted on their profile on the house of commons website too.

HOUSE UNDERSTANDING:

Each Parliament will look to host a glossary of house understanding (party definitions can we kept on their own websites if they like), much like in the spirit of treaties and to further hone our party members abilities to best meet the challenging realities of the day in working out such differences of understanding, at the end of each week, the public pool may agree on, to a maximum of 4 words or slogans brought up by members of the house, either newly coined or freshly re-imagined, both of which are welcome, though if seen by the public as too argumentative amongst various political parties and their members that week, or something merely used to obfuscate answering questions or debates too often on a particular topic, the parties must each consider the definition of the days usage, the parties will host their own definition & can host such with their party members/donators if they like, such considerations may differ between parties .

If such words or slogans are selected by a pool and seen as lacking in some manner to resolve disputes properly must work across parties to quickly define a house definition by the end of the members next work week together & whips or party house leaders can present to the house a new understanding, that removes any of the more serious assertions or confounding issues found either stifling the debate or question process or creating petty arguments or outbursts used in questions or debate to obfuscate redress from opposing parties or the pool itself.

Though definitions can still meet the word or slogans basic contention, and the individual parties definition can be as striking or leave plenty of room for ambiguities understanding as before, in the house it will be stripped of such & noted as having caused contention & to be mindful of such in that parliamentary session. Outside of honing our member's skills in treaty resolution, the public deserves from the house of commons member's questions & debates to meet the days troubles head on.

If a word or slogan is added to the current parliaments glossary of house understandings, and still found used for petty argument or as means of obfuscating to properly answer on topics of public interest, the pool may pick two use cases found in questions or debates that week where such is still occurring by a particular member when in the current houses understanding glossary editions, not to be confused with the traditional glossary. If removed by another pool, the agreed definition can be stricken with a line, for if brought back, unless contextually changed beyond the agreed measures scope, can be sorted the same as before. Majority votes (half +1) will apply to all such votes by the pool on this topic after deliberations, though seen in a the less egregious nature with all free speech considerations given, these demerits won't influence committee standings & a following pool will confirm any of these lesser demerits again by further deliberation & vote regardless what group brought such up for consideration.

In the case of two pools separate deliberations finding a member wanting as it relates keeping the houses understanding in good faith, a demerit will be added to said member, house party leaders may once a week also through act of motion on Friday each week highlight a matter found problematic by their party on use of a word added by the pool in that parliamentary sitting or slogan by another parties members use to be considered for 1 additional consideration of vote outside the pools own selection of a members use of such words must be found within the glossaries house of understanding & not stricken, thus deemed an active argumentative or obfuscating response, not used in the spirit of the new understanding noted in the glossary. Such demerits on members will go toward the same 7 needed to trigger by-election in the period of time set-out (2 years for all, though 14 demerits for leaders), free speech considerations can allow for a lot of leeway, pools are free to skip raising votes on such, though looked upon to deliberate on any previous pools vote. Members must be seen as willing & able to answer the public's concerns, and making an effort to debate the day's work in earnest.

Splendor Sine Occasu

2st Draft

Written by: David Gauthier

email: info@municipalwatchbc.ca

